

The module of Kähler differentials

Eric Walker cew028@uark.edu

CARES

12 October 2021

Outline

Topics:

- Why?
- Derivations
- Definition of the Kähler differentials
- Construction of the Kähler differentials
- The first fundamental exact sequence
- The second fundamental exact sequence
- Where do you go from here?

Outline

Topics:

- Why?
- Derivations
- Definition of the Kähler differentials
- Construction of the Kähler differentials
- The first fundamental exact sequence
- The second fundamental exact sequence
- Where do you go from here?

Conventions:

- k is a ring, and all rings are commutative and unital
- a k -algebra is a ring A with a structure map $\varphi : k \rightarrow A$

Why?

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Let $f, g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$. To take a derivative $D_x[-]$ is to know the following rules:

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Let $f, g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$. To take a derivative $D_x[-]$ is to know the following rules:

- 1 $D_x[c] = 0$ if $c \in \mathbf{R}$

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Let $f, g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$. To take a derivative $D_x[-]$ is to know the following rules:

- 1 $D_x[c] = 0$ if $c \in \mathbf{R}$
- 2 $D_x[x^n] = nx^{n-1}$

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Let $f, g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$. To take a derivative $D_x[-]$ is to know the following rules:

- 1 $D_x[c] = 0$ if $c \in \mathbf{R}$
- 2 $D_x[x^n] = nx^{n-1}$
- 3 $D_x[f + g] = D_x[f] + D_x[g]$

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Let $f, g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$. To take a derivative $D_x[-]$ is to know the following rules:

- 1 $D_x[c] = 0$ if $c \in \mathbf{R}$
- 2 $D_x[x^n] = nx^{n-1}$
- 3 $D_x[f + g] = D_x[f] + D_x[g]$
- 4 $D_x[fg] = D_x[f]g + fD_x[g]$

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Let $f, g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$. To take a derivative $D_x[-]$ is to know the following rules:

- 1 $D_x[c] = 0$ if $c \in \mathbf{R}$
- 2 $D_x[x^n] = nx^{n-1}$
- 3 $D_x[f + g] = D_x[f] + D_x[g]$
- 4 $D_x[fg] = D_x[f]g + fD_x[g]$
- 5 etc.

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Let $f, g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$. To take a derivative $D_x[-]$ is to know the following rules:

- 1 $D_x[c] = 0$ if $c \in \mathbf{R}$
- 2 $D_x[x^n] = nx^{n-1}$
- 3 $D_x[f + g] = D_x[f] + D_x[g]$
- 4 $D_x[fg] = D_x[f]g + fD_x[g]$
- 5 etc.

As algebraists, this is formal symbol moving, not ε -neighborhoods.

Why?

Let's think about differentiation the way your calculus 1 students* think about differentiation:

Let $f, g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$. To take a derivative $D_x[-]$ is to know the following rules:

- 1 $D_x[c] = 0$ if $c \in \mathbf{R}$
- 2 $D_x[x^n] = nx^{n-1}$
- 3 $D_x[f + g] = D_x[f] + D_x[g]$
- 4 $D_x[fg] = D_x[f]g + fD_x[g]$
- 5 etc.

As algebraists, this is formal symbol moving, not ε -neighborhoods. (But will a geometric picture remain?)

Derivations

Derivations

Definition. Let k be a ring. Let A be a k -algebra (i.e., there is a structure map $\varphi : k \rightarrow A$). Let M be an A -module.

Derivations

Definition. Let k be a ring. Let A be a k -algebra (i.e., there is a structure map $\varphi : k \rightarrow A$). Let M be an A -module. A **k -linear derivation of A with coefficients in M** is a homomorphism of abelian groups $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ such that

Derivations

Definition. Let k be a ring. Let A be a k -algebra (i.e., there is a structure map $\varphi : k \rightarrow A$). Let M be an A -module. A **k -linear derivation of A with coefficients in M** is a homomorphism of abelian groups $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ such that

- 1 $\delta\varphi : k \rightarrow M$ is the zero map

Derivations

Definition. Let k be a ring. Let A be a k -algebra (i.e., there is a structure map $\varphi : k \rightarrow A$). Let M be an A -module. A **k -linear derivation of A with coefficients in M** is a homomorphism of abelian groups $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ such that

- 1 $\delta\varphi : k \rightarrow M$ is the zero map
- 2 δ satisfies the Leibniz rule: for all $f, g \in A$,

$$\delta(fg) = \delta(f)g + f\delta(g).$$

Derivations

Definition. Let k be a ring. Let A be an k -algebra (i.e., there is a structure map $\varphi : k \rightarrow A$). Let M be an A -module. A **k -linear derivation of A with coefficients in M** is a homomorphism of abelian groups $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ such that

- 1 $\delta\varphi : k \rightarrow M$ is the zero map
- 2 δ satisfies the Leibniz rule: for all $f, g \in A$,

$$\delta(fg) = \delta(f)g + f\delta(g).$$

This is some of the cal 1 rules... sorta. Is it enough?

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- 1 **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- ① **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.
- ② **Power Rule.** Let $n = 2$.

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- 1 **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.
- 2 **Power Rule.** Let $n = 2$.

$$\delta(f^2) = \delta(f \cdot f) = \delta(f)f + f\delta(f)$$

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- 1 **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.
- 2 **Power Rule.** Let $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^2) &= \delta(f \cdot f) = \delta(f)f + f\delta(f) \\ &= 2f\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- 1 **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.
- 2 **Power Rule.** Let $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^2) &= \delta(f \cdot f) = \delta(f)f + f\delta(f) \\ &= 2f\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the power rule holds for $n = k - 1$.

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- 1 **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.
- 2 **Power Rule.** Let $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^2) &= \delta(f \cdot f) = \delta(f)f + f\delta(f) \\ &= 2f\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the power rule holds for $n = k - 1$.

$$\delta(f^k) = \delta(f \cdot f^{k-1}) = \delta(f)f^{k-1} + f\delta(f^{k-1})$$

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- 1 **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.
- 2 **Power Rule.** Let $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^2) &= \delta(f \cdot f) = \delta(f)f + f\delta(f) \\ &= 2f\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the power rule holds for $n = k - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^k) &= \delta(f \cdot f^{k-1}) = \delta(f)f^{k-1} + f\delta(f^{k-1}) \\ &= \delta(f)f^{k-1} + f(k-1)f^{k-2}\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- 1 **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.
- 2 **Power Rule.** Let $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^2) &= \delta(f \cdot f) = \delta(f)f + f\delta(f) \\ &= 2f\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the power rule holds for $n = k - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^k) &= \delta(f \cdot f^{k-1}) = \delta(f)f^{k-1} + f\delta(f^{k-1}) \\ &= \delta(f)f^{k-1} + f(k-1)f^{k-2}\delta(f) \\ &= \delta(f)f^{k-1} + (k-1)f^{k-1}\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Derivations

Let $f, g \in A$.

- 1 **Sum Rule.** $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$, since δ is a homomorphism of abelian groups.
- 2 **Power Rule.** Let $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^2) &= \delta(f \cdot f) = \delta(f)f + f\delta(f) \\ &= 2f\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the power rule holds for $n = k - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f^k) &= \delta(f \cdot f^{k-1}) = \delta(f)f^{k-1} + f\delta(f^{k-1}) \\ &= \delta(f)f^{k-1} + f(k-1)f^{k-2}\delta(f) \\ &= \delta(f)f^{k-1} + (k-1)f^{k-1}\delta(f) \\ &= kf^{k-1}\delta(f)\end{aligned}$$

Derivations

3 Constant Rule.

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants?

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants? In the cal 1 example, the constants are $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and polynomials live in $\mathbf{R}[x]$.

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants? In the cal 1 example, the constants are $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and polynomials live in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. Since $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is a \mathbf{R} -algebra, \mathbf{R} plays the role of k and $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is A , and $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[x]$ is the natural map.

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants? In the cal 1 example, the constants are $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and polynomials live in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. Since $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is a \mathbf{R} -algebra, \mathbf{R} plays the role of k and $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is A , and $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[x]$ is the natural map. Even outside the setting of polynomial rings, elements in $\varphi(k) \subseteq A$ are still considered constants. Let $c \in k$.

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants? In the cal 1 example, the constants are $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and polynomials live in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. Since $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is a \mathbf{R} -algebra, \mathbf{R} plays the role of k and $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is A , and $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[x]$ is the natural map. Even outside the setting of polynomial rings, elements in $\varphi(k) \subseteq A$ are still considered constants. Let $c \in k$.

$$\delta(\varphi(c)) = \delta\varphi(c) = 0.$$

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants? In the cal 1 example, the constants are $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and polynomials live in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. Since $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is a \mathbf{R} -algebra, \mathbf{R} plays the role of k and $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is A , and $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[x]$ is the natural map. Even outside the setting of polynomial rings, elements in $\varphi(k) \subseteq A$ are still considered constants. Let $c \in k$.

$$\delta(\varphi(c)) = \delta\varphi(c) = 0.$$

- ④ **Constant Multiple Rule.**

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants? In the cal 1 example, the constants are $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and polynomials live in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. Since $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is a \mathbf{R} -algebra, \mathbf{R} plays the role of k and $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is A , and $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[x]$ is the natural map. Even outside the setting of polynomial rings, elements in $\varphi(k) \subseteq A$ are still considered constants. Let $c \in k$.

$$\delta(\varphi(c)) = \delta\varphi(c) = 0.$$

- ④ **Constant Multiple Rule.**

$$\delta(\varphi(c) \cdot f) = \delta(\varphi(c))f + \varphi(c)\delta(f)$$

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants? In the cal 1 example, the constants are $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and polynomials live in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. Since $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is a \mathbf{R} -algebra, \mathbf{R} plays the role of k and $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is A , and $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[x]$ is the natural map. Even outside the setting of polynomial rings, elements in $\varphi(k) \subseteq A$ are still considered constants. Let $c \in k$.

$$\delta(\varphi(c)) = \delta\varphi(c) = 0.$$

- ④ **Constant Multiple Rule.**

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\varphi(c) \cdot f) &= \delta(\varphi(c))f + \varphi(c)\delta(f) \\ &= \varphi(c)\delta(f).\end{aligned}$$

Derivations

- ③ **Constant Rule.** What are constants? In the cal 1 example, the constants are $c \in \mathbf{R}$, and polynomials live in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. Since $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is a \mathbf{R} -algebra, \mathbf{R} plays the role of k and $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is A , and $\varphi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[x]$ is the natural map. Even outside the setting of polynomial rings, elements in $\varphi(k) \subseteq A$ are still considered constants. Let $c \in k$.

$$\delta(\varphi(c)) = \delta\varphi(c) = 0.$$

- ④ **Constant Multiple Rule.**

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\varphi(c) \cdot f) &= \delta(\varphi(c))f + \varphi(c)\delta(f) \\ &= \varphi(c)\delta(f).\end{aligned}$$

In almost all contexts we will care about, $\varphi : k \rightarrow A$ is injective, so we will typically write c for $\varphi(c)$, and then $\delta(cf) = c\delta(f)$.

Derivations

Denote the set of all k -linear derivations $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ by

$$\text{Der}_k(A; M).$$

Derivations

Denote the set of all k -linear derivations $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ by

$$\text{Der}_k(A; M).$$

By the “**Constant Multiple Rule**,” δ is a k -module homomorphism, so $\text{Der}_k(A; M) \subseteq \text{Hom}_k(A, M)$.

Derivations

Denote the set of all k -linear derivations $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ by

$$\text{Der}_k(A; M).$$

By the “**Constant Multiple Rule**,” δ is a k -module homomorphism, so $\text{Der}_k(A; M) \subseteq \text{Hom}_k(A, M)$.

Not only that, but $\text{Der}_k(A; M)$ is an A -submodule via the action $(f \cdot \delta)(g) = f\delta(g)$. We can add, subtract, and scale derivations.

Definition of the Kähler differentials

Definition of the Kähler differentials

Definition. The module of Kähler differentials of A over k , $\Omega_{A/k}$,

$$\Omega_{A/k}$$

Definition of the Kähler differentials

Definition. The **module of Kähler differentials of A over k** , $\Omega_{A/k}$, along with the **universal derivation** $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$, satisfy the following universal property.

$$A \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{A/k}$$

Definition of the Kähler differentials

Definition. The **module of Kähler differentials of A over k** , $\Omega_{A/k}$, along with the **universal derivation** $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$, satisfy the following universal property.

Let $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ be an k -linear derivation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{A/k} \\ \delta \downarrow & & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

Definition of the Kähler differentials

Definition. The **module of Kähler differentials of A over k** , $\Omega_{A/k}$, along with the **universal derivation** $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$, satisfy the following universal property.

Let $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ be an k -linear derivation. There exists a unique A -module homomorphism $\Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{A/k} \\ \delta \downarrow & \swarrow \text{---} \exists! & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

Definition of the Kähler differentials

Definition. The **module of Kähler differentials of A over k** , $\Omega_{A/k}$, along with the **universal derivation** $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$, satisfy the following universal property.

Let $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ be an k -linear derivation. There exists a unique A -module homomorphism $\Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{A/k} \\ \delta \downarrow & \swarrow \text{---} \exists! & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

That is, there is an isomorphism of A -modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, M) \cong \mathrm{Der}_k(A; M)$$

given by composition with the universal derivation $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$.

Definition of the Kähler differentials

We should always have universal property concerns!

Definition of the Kähler differentials

We should always have universal property concerns!

A super basic exercise: $\Omega_{A/k} = 0$ when $\varphi : k \twoheadrightarrow A$.

Definition of the Kähler differentials

We should always have universal property concerns!

A super basic exercise: $\Omega_{A/k} = 0$ when $\varphi : k \twoheadrightarrow A$.
 $\varphi(k) = A$ so since $\delta\varphi = 0$, $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ is 0.

Definition of the Kähler differentials

We should always have universal property concerns!

A super basic exercise: $\Omega_{A/k} = 0$ when $\varphi : k \twoheadrightarrow A$.
 $\varphi(k) = A$ so since $\delta\varphi = 0$, $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ is 0.

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, M) \cong \mathrm{Der}_k(A; M) = 0$$

Definition of the Kähler differentials

We should always have universal property concerns!

A super basic exercise: $\Omega_{A/k} = 0$ when $\varphi : k \twoheadrightarrow A$.
 $\varphi(k) = A$ so since $\delta\varphi = 0$, $\delta : A \rightarrow M$ is 0.

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, M) \cong \mathrm{Der}_k(A; M) = 0$$

But what about in general?

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$F = \bigoplus_{f \in A} A \cdot df.$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$F = \bigoplus_{f \in A} A \cdot df.$$

Let $d : A \rightarrow F$ be $d(f) = df$. Define

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$F = \bigoplus_{f \in A} A \cdot df.$$

Let $d : A \rightarrow F$ be $d(f) = df$. Define

$$K = F / \sim$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$F = \bigoplus_{f \in A} A \cdot df.$$

Let $d : A \rightarrow F$ be $d(f) = df$. Define

$$K = F / \sim$$

where the relations are

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$F = \bigoplus_{f \in A} A \cdot df.$$

Let $d : A \rightarrow F$ be $d(f) = df$. Define

$$K = F / \sim$$

where the relations are

- $d(f + g) = df + dg,$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$F = \bigoplus_{f \in A} A \cdot df.$$

Let $d : A \rightarrow F$ be $d(f) = df$. Define

$$K = F / \sim$$

where the relations are

- $d(f + g) = df + dg$,
- $d(fg) = df \cdot g + f \cdot dg$,

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$F = \bigoplus_{f \in A} A \cdot df.$$

Let $d : A \rightarrow F$ be $d(f) = df$. Define

$$K = F / \sim$$

where the relations are

- $d(f + g) = df + dg$,
- $d(fg) = df \cdot g + f \cdot dg$,
- $d\varphi(c) = 0$.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Easy exercise: by construction, K along with the map $d : A \rightarrow K$ satisfies the universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}$, d .

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Easy exercise: by construction, K along with the map $d : A \rightarrow K$ satisfies the universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}, d$.

Idea: this is the formal symbol moving of calculus 1 students. Building K amounts to defining exactly the relations needed, and no more, that guarantee $d : A \rightarrow K$ is a derivation.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Easy exercise: by construction, K along with the map $d : A \rightarrow K$ satisfies the universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}, d$.

Idea: this is the formal symbol moving of calculus 1 students. Building K amounts to defining exactly the relations needed, and no more, that guarantee $d : A \rightarrow K$ is a derivation.

But this shouldn't necessarily sit well with us: where is the geometry?

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the second easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$\mu : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$$

be $\mu(f \otimes g) = fg$.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the second easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$\mu : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$$

be $\mu(f \otimes g) = fg$.

Let $I = \ker \mu$. Let

$$K' = I/I^2.$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Here's the second easiest way to build $\Omega_{A/k}$. Let

$$\mu : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$$

be $\mu(f \otimes g) = fg$.

Let $I = \ker \mu$. Let

$$K' = I/I^2.$$

Let $d : A \rightarrow K'$ be defined by $d(f) = 1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1$.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Medium exercise: by construction, K' along with the morphism $d : A \rightarrow K'$ satisfies the universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}, d$.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Medium exercise: by construction, K' along with the morphism $d : A \rightarrow K'$ satisfies the universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}, d$.

Algebraic idea: the differential hints that it's ultimately the same algebraic relations, since d forces the Leibniz rule.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Medium exercise: by construction, K' along with the morphism $d : A \rightarrow K'$ satisfies the universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}, d$.

Algebraic idea: the differential hints that it's ultimately the same algebraic relations, since d forces the Leibniz rule.

But why I/I^2 ? There's geometry here!

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Medium exercise: by construction, K' along with the morphism $d : A \rightarrow K'$ satisfies the universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}, d$.

Algebraic idea: the differential hints that it's ultimately the same algebraic relations, since d forces the Leibniz rule.

But why I/I^2 ? There's geometry here!

Thinking in terms of elements of a ring as functions, the module I/I^2 amounts to functions which vanish modulo vanishing to second order.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Medium exercise: by construction, K' along with the morphism $d : A \rightarrow K'$ satisfies the universal property of $\Omega_{A/k}, d$.

Algebraic idea: the differential hints that it's ultimately the same algebraic relations, since d forces the Leibniz rule.

But why I/I^2 ? There's geometry here!

Thinking in terms of elements of a ring as functions, the module I/I^2 amounts to functions which vanish modulo vanishing to second order.

You can think: take a Taylor series and truncate it to get the first order differentiation. We'll see more geometry later!

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. What is $\Omega_{A/k}$? We claim it is

$$A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n.$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. What is $\Omega_{A/k}$? We claim it is

$$A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n.$$

Let $M = Adx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Adx_n$. The partial derivative $\partial_i : A \rightarrow Adx_i$ is a derivation, so $\delta = \sum \partial_i$ is a derivation $A \rightarrow M$.

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \delta \downarrow \\ A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n \end{array}$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. What is $\Omega_{A/k}$? We claim it is

$$A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A \cdot dx_n.$$

Let $M = Adx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Adx_n$. The partial derivative $\partial_i : A \rightarrow Adx_i$ is a derivation, so $\delta = \sum \partial_i$ is a derivation $A \rightarrow M$.

Using the universal property, we get a unique A -module map ψ such that the diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{A/k} \\ \delta \downarrow & & \swarrow \psi \\ A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & & \end{array}$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. What is $\Omega_{A/k}$? We claim it is

$$A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A \cdot dx_n.$$

Let $M = Adx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Adx_n$. The partial derivative $\partial_i : A \rightarrow Adx_i$ is a derivation, so $\delta = \sum \partial_i$ is a derivation $A \rightarrow M$.

Using the universal property, we get a unique A -module map ψ such that the diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{A/k} \\ \delta \downarrow & & \swarrow \psi \\ A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & & \end{array}$$

Let $\Omega_{A/k} \cong \bigoplus Adf / \sim$, which was our first construction.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus A \cdot df / \sim \\ \delta \downarrow & \swarrow \psi & \\ A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & & \end{array}$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus A \cdot df / \sim \\ \delta \downarrow & & \swarrow \psi \\ A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & & \end{array}$$

ψ is injective: If $\psi(df) = 0$, then $\delta(f) = 0$, so $\partial_i(f) = 0dx_i$ for all i . Thus f is x_i -free, i.e., $f \in k$, so $df = 0$ in $\bigoplus Adf / \sim$.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus A \cdot df / \sim \\
 \delta \downarrow & & \swarrow \psi \\
 A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & &
 \end{array}$$

ψ is injective: If $\psi(df) = 0$, then $\delta(f) = 0$, so $\partial_i(f) = 0dx_i$ for all i . Thus f is x_i -free, i.e., $f \in k$, so $df = 0$ in $\bigoplus Adf / \sim$.

ψ is surjective: $Adx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Adx_n$ has an A -basis $\{1dx_1, \dots, 1dx_n\}$. The element $dx_i \in \bigoplus Adf / \sim$ satisfies

Construction of the Kähler differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus A \cdot df / \sim \\
 \delta \downarrow & \swarrow \psi & \\
 A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & &
 \end{array}$$

ψ is injective: If $\psi(df) = 0$, then $\delta(f) = 0$, so $\partial_i(f) = 0dx_i$ for all i . Thus f is x_i -free, i.e., $f \in k$, so $df = 0$ in $\bigoplus Adf / \sim$.

ψ is surjective: $Adx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Adx_n$ has an A -basis $\{1dx_1, \dots, 1dx_n\}$. The element $dx_i \in \bigoplus Adf / \sim$ satisfies

$$\psi(dx_i) = \delta(x_i)$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus A \cdot df / \sim \\ \delta \downarrow & & \swarrow \psi \\ A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & & \end{array}$$

ψ is injective: If $\psi(df) = 0$, then $\delta(f) = 0$, so $\partial_i(f) = 0dx_i$ for all i . Thus f is x_i -free, i.e., $f \in k$, so $df = 0$ in $\bigoplus Adf / \sim$.

ψ is surjective: $Adx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Adx_n$ has an A -basis $\{1dx_1, \dots, 1dx_n\}$. The element $dx_i \in \bigoplus Adf / \sim$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(dx_i) &= \delta(x_i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus A \cdot df / \sim \\ \delta \downarrow & & \swarrow \psi \\ A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & & \end{array}$$

ψ is injective: If $\psi(df) = 0$, then $\delta(f) = 0$, so $\partial_i(f) = 0dx_i$ for all i . Thus f is x_i -free, i.e., $f \in k$, so $df = 0$ in $\bigoplus Adf / \sim$.

ψ is surjective: $Adx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Adx_n$ has an A -basis $\{1dx_1, \dots, 1dx_n\}$. The element $dx_i \in \bigoplus Adf / \sim$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(dx_i) &= \delta(x_i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j(x_i) \\ &= 1 \cdot dx_i, \end{aligned}$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus A \cdot df / \sim \\
 \delta \downarrow & & \swarrow \psi \\
 A \cdot dx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A \cdot dx_n & &
 \end{array}$$

ψ is injective: If $\psi(df) = 0$, then $\delta(f) = 0$, so $\partial_i(f) = 0dx_i$ for all i . Thus f is x_i -free, i.e., $f \in k$, so $df = 0$ in $\bigoplus Adf / \sim$.

ψ is surjective: $Adx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Adx_n$ has an A -basis $\{1dx_1, \dots, 1dx_n\}$. The element $dx_i \in \bigoplus Adf / \sim$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(dx_i) &= \delta(x_i) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j(x_i) \\
 &= 1 \cdot dx_i,
 \end{aligned}$$

so $\{dx_1, \dots, dx_n\} \subseteq \bigoplus Adf / \sim$ maps to the basis $\{1dx_1, \dots, 1dx_n\}$ under ψ .

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.

If $f \in A$, then we can represent

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.

If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.

If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

An explicit computation:

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.

If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

An explicit computation:

$$df = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} d(x^i y^j)$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.

If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

An explicit computation:

$$df = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} d(x^i y^j) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (d(x^i) y^j + x^i d(y^j))$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.
If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

An explicit computation:

$$\begin{aligned} df &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} d(x^i y^j) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (d(x^i) y^j + x^i d(y^j)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (i x^{i-1} y^j dx + x^i j y^{j-1} dy) \end{aligned}$$

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.
If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

An explicit computation:

$$\begin{aligned} df &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} d(x^i y^j) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (d(x^i) y^j + x^i d(y^j)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (i x^{i-1} y^j dx + x^i j y^{j-1} dy) \end{aligned}$$

So $\Omega_{A/k}$ is generated as an A -module by dx and dy .

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.
If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

An explicit computation:

$$\begin{aligned} df &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} d(x^i y^j) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (d(x^i) y^j + x^i d(y^j)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (i x^{i-1} y^j dx + x^i j y^{j-1} dy) \end{aligned}$$

So $\Omega_{A/k}$ is generated as an A -module by dx and dy .

Since $y - x^2 = 0$ in A , expect $d(y - x^2) = dy - 2x dx = 0$ in $\Omega_{A/k}$.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.
If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

An explicit computation:

$$\begin{aligned} df &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} d(x^i y^j) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (d(x^i) y^j + x^i d(y^j)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (i x^{i-1} y^j dx + x^i j y^{j-1} dy) \end{aligned}$$

So $\Omega_{A/k}$ is generated as an A -module by dx and dy .

Since $y - x^2 = 0$ in A , expect $d(y - x^2) = dy - 2x dx = 0$ in $\Omega_{A/k}$.

Indeed, one can check that

$$\Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow A \cdot dx \oplus A \cdot dy \twoheadrightarrow A \cdot dx \oplus A \cdot dy / (dy - 2x dx)$$

is an isomorphism.

Construction of the Kähler differentials

Another example (goal: to generalize)! Let $A = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$.
If $f \in A$, then we can represent

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

An explicit computation:

$$\begin{aligned} df &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} d(x^i y^j) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (d(x^i) y^j + x^i d(y^j)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (i x^{i-1} y^j dx + x^i j y^{j-1} dy) \end{aligned}$$

So $\Omega_{A/k}$ is generated as an A -module by dx and dy .

Since $y - x^2 = 0$ in A , expect $d(y - x^2) = dy - 2x dx = 0$ in $\Omega_{A/k}$.

Indeed, one can check that

$$\Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow A \cdot dx \oplus A \cdot dy \twoheadrightarrow A \cdot dx \oplus A \cdot dy / (dy - 2x dx)$$

is an isomorphism. But that doesn't generalize...

The first fundamental exact sequence

The first fundamental exact sequence

Theorem. *Let $k \rightarrow R \rightarrow S$ be ring maps. The following sequence of S -modules is exact.*

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0$$

The first fundamental exact sequence

Theorem. *Let $k \rightarrow R \rightarrow S$ be ring maps. The following sequence of S -modules is exact.*

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0$$

Proof.

[00RS].



The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$\Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k[x,y]} \rightarrow 0.$$

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$\Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k[x,y]} \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x,y]} = 0$.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$\Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x,y]} = 0$.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$\Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x,y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$(k[x, y] \cdot dx \oplus k[x, y] \cdot dy) \otimes_{k[x, y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x, y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$(k[x, y] \cdot dx \oplus k[x, y] \cdot dy) \otimes_{k[x, y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x, y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$A \cdot dx \oplus A \cdot dy \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x, y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$A \cdot dx \oplus A \cdot dy \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x, y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

Therefore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a quotient of $A dx \oplus A dy$. If we knew the kernel of ψ , we'd be happy.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$A \cdot dx \oplus A \cdot dy \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x, y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

Therefore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a quotient of $A dx \oplus A dy$. If we knew the kernel of ψ , we'd be happy.

Since $f = 0$ in A ,

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \otimes_{k[x, y]} A \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x, y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

Therefore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a quotient of $Adx \oplus Ady$. If we knew the kernel of ψ , we'd be happy.

Since $f = 0$ in A , $df \otimes 1 \in \Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \otimes A$ maps to 0 under ψ . So we might hope to define the following map.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$(f) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x,y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

Therefore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a quotient of $Adx \oplus Ady$. If we knew the kernel of ψ , we'd be happy.

Since $f = 0$ in A , $df \otimes 1 \in \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes A$ maps to 0 under ψ . So we might hope to define the following map.

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$(f) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \otimes_{k[x, y]} A \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x, y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

Therefore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a quotient of $Adx \oplus Ady$. If we knew the kernel of ψ , we'd be happy.

Since $f = 0$ in A , $df \otimes 1 \in \Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \otimes A$ maps to 0 under ψ . So we might hope to define the following map.

But (f) isn't an A -module, it's a $k[x, y]$ -module. So we base change to A .

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$(f) \otimes_{k[x, y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \otimes_{k[x, y]} A \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x, y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

Therefore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a quotient of $Adx \oplus Ady$. If we knew the kernel of ψ , we'd be happy.

Since $f = 0$ in A , $df \otimes 1 \in \Omega_{k[x, y]/k} \otimes A$ maps to 0 under ψ . So we might hope to define the following map.

But (f) isn't an A -module, it's a $k[x, y]$ -module. So we base change to A .

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$(f)/(f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x,y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

Therefore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a quotient of $Adx \oplus Ady$. If we knew the kernel of ψ , we'd be happy.

Since $f = 0$ in A , $df \otimes 1 \in \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes A$ maps to 0 under ψ . So we might hope to define the following map.

But (f) isn't an A -module, it's a $k[x, y]$ -module. So we base change to A .

The first fundamental exact sequence

Before we see #2, let's see an example (which motivates #2).
Let $k \hookrightarrow k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y]/(f) = A$ be ring maps. By the FFES,

$$(f)/(f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

$k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $\Omega_{A/k[x,y]} = 0$.

We also know $\Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \cong k[x, y]dx \oplus k[x, y]dy$.

Distribute and compute the tensor product base change.

Therefore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is a quotient of $Adx \oplus Ady$. If we knew the kernel of ψ , we'd be happy.

Since $f = 0$ in A , $df \otimes 1 \in \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes A$ maps to 0 under ψ . So we might hope to define the following map.

But (f) isn't an A -module, it's a $k[x, y]$ -module. So we base change to A .

Now the above sequence is exact.

The first fundamental exact sequence

$$(f) / (f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$$

What happens when $A \neq k[x, y]/(f)$? E.g., $k[x, y]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$?
Or, more generally, ring maps $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow S$? The FFES gives us

The first fundamental exact sequence

$$(f)/(f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$$

What happens when $A \neq k[x, y]/(f)$? E.g., $k[x, y]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$?
Or, more generally, ring maps $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow S$? The FFES gives us

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0$$

The first fundamental exact sequence

$$(f)/(f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$$

What happens when $A \neq k[x, y]/(f)$? E.g., $k[x, y]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$?
Or, more generally, ring maps $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow S$? The FFES gives us

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

The first fundamental exact sequence

$$(f)/(f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$$

What happens when $A \neq k[x, y]/(f)$? E.g., $k[x, y]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$?
Or, more generally, ring maps $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow S$? The FFES gives us

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Repeat the same argument as before. We get:

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$(f)/(f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$$

What happens when $A \neq k[x, y]/(f)$? E.g., $k[x, y]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$?
Or, more generally, ring maps $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow S$? The FFES gives us

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Repeat the same argument as before. We get:

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$(f)/(f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$$

What happens when $A \neq k[x, y]/(f)$? E.g., $k[x, y]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$?
Or, more generally, ring maps $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow S$? The FFES gives us

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Repeat the same argument as before. We get:

Theorem. *Let $R \twoheadrightarrow S$ be a map of k -algs. Let $I = \ker(R \twoheadrightarrow S)$. The following sequence of S -modules is exact.*

$$I/I^2 \xrightarrow{f \mapsto df \otimes 1} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$(f)/(f^2) \rightarrow \Omega_{k[x,y]/k} \otimes_{k[x,y]} A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$$

What happens when $A \neq k[x, y]/(f)$? E.g., $k[x, y]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$?
Or, more generally, ring maps $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow S$? The FFES gives us

$$\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Repeat the same argument as before. We get:

Theorem. *Let $R \twoheadrightarrow S$ be a map of k -algs. Let $I = \ker(R \twoheadrightarrow S)$. The following sequence of S -modules is exact.*

$$I/I^2 \xrightarrow{f \mapsto df \otimes 1} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Proof.

[00RU].



The second fundamental exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \xrightarrow{f \mapsto df \otimes 1} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \xrightarrow{f \mapsto df \otimes 1} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Corollary. *If $A \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$, then*

$$\Omega_{A/k} \cong \text{coker} \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right].$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \xrightarrow{f \mapsto df \otimes 1} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Corollary. *If $A \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$, then*

$$\Omega_{A/k} \cong \text{coker} \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right].$$

Proof.

Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $S = A$, and observe that

$$df_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} dx_j.$$



The second fundamental exact sequence

Examples are now easy:

The second fundamental exact sequence

Examples are now easy:

- 1 If $A = k[x, y]/(x^2 - y^3)$, then

$$\Omega_{A/k} \cong \frac{Adx \oplus Ady}{(2xdx - 3y^2dy)}.$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

Examples are now easy:

- ① If $A = k[x, y]/(x^2 - y^3)$, then

$$\Omega_{A/k} \cong \frac{A dx \oplus A dy}{(2x dx - 3y^2 dy)}.$$

- ② If $A' = k[x, y, z]/(xy, xz, yz)$, then

$$\Omega_{A'/k} \cong \frac{A' dx \oplus A' dy \oplus A' dz}{(x dy + y dx, x dz + z dx, y dz + z dy)}.$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

Examples are now easy:

- ① If $A = k[x, y]/(x^2 - y^3)$, then

$$\Omega_{A/k} \cong \frac{A dx \oplus A dy}{(2x dx - 3y^2 dy)}.$$

- ② If $A' = k[x, y, z]/(xy, xz, yz)$, then

$$\Omega_{A'/k} \cong \frac{A' dx \oplus A' dy \oplus A' dz}{(x dy + y dx, x dz + z dx, y dz + z dy)}.$$

- ③ If $A'' = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_s)$, then

$$\Omega_{A''/k} \cong \frac{A'' dx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A'' dx_n}{(df_1, \dots, df_s)}.$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

Geometry can be made more explicit:

The second fundamental exact sequence

Geometry can be made more explicit:

Suppose (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local ring, so it can be understood as in correspondence with a point x of some LRS X . Using the map of k -algs $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} = k$, we get

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow \Omega_{k/k} = 0$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

Geometry can be made more explicit:

Suppose (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local ring, so it can be understood as in correspondence with a point x of some LRS X . Using the map of k -algs $k \rightarrow R \twoheadrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} = k$, we get

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow \Omega_{k/k} = 0$$

But φ is injective too! To see this, we'll use the fact that $\mathrm{Hom}(-, k)$ is left exact, and check that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k, k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k)$$

is surjective.

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow 0$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 &\xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_k(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k, k) &\xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k) \end{aligned}$$

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 &\xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_k(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k, k) &\xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k) \end{aligned}$$

Why $\operatorname{Hom}(-, k)$?!?!

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k, k) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k) \end{array}$$

Why $\text{Hom}(-, k)$?!?! Because $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the Zariski cotangent space at x , and its k -vector space dual $\text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k)$ is the tangent space, so it's reasonable to look at.

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 &\xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_k(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k, k) &\xrightarrow{\varphi_*} \operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k) \end{aligned}$$

Why $\operatorname{Hom}(-, k)$?!?! Because $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the Zariski cotangent space at x , and its k -vector space dual $\operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k)$ is the tangent space, so it's reasonable to look at.

Idea: To show φ_* is surjective, we show any k -linear morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow k$ lifts to $\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow k$. Define a map $R \rightarrow k$ by $r = a + b$ for $a \in k$ and $b \in \mathfrak{m}$; check that $r \mapsto \psi(b)$ is a derivation. Then show φ_* is surjective via universal property of $\Omega_{R/k}$.

The second fundamental exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_k(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k, k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k) \end{array}$$

Why $\mathrm{Hom}(-, k)$?!?! Because $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the Zariski cotangent space at x , and its k -vector space dual $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k)$ is the tangent space, so it's reasonable to look at.

Idea: To show φ_* is surjective, we show any k -linear morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow k$ lifts to $\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \rightarrow k$. Define a map $R \rightarrow k$ by $r = a + b$ for $a \in k$ and $b \in \mathfrak{m}$; check that $r \mapsto \psi(b)$ is a derivation. Then show φ_* is surjective via universal property of $\Omega_{R/k}$.

So Kähler differentials tell us geometry! $\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k \cong \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the cotangent space.

Where do you go from here?

Where do you go from here?

$\Omega_{A/k}$ is differentiation in module form. From last year's CARES:
 $J^1 A$ is differentiation in k -algebra form. One might wonder: is there a connection?

Where do you go from here?

$\Omega_{A/k}$ is differentiation in module form. From last year's CARES: $J^1 A$ is differentiation in k -algebra form. One might wonder: is there a connection? Yes! And it's exactly what you hope. The functor $\text{Sym} : \mathbf{Mod}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_k$ is the natural way to take a module to an algebra. And indeed,

$$J^1 A \cong \text{Sym } \Omega_{A/k}.$$

Where do you go from here?

You can also build out differential forms à la Calculus 3 in the natural way. Let $\Omega_{A/k}^p$ be the p th exterior power of $\Omega_{A/k}$ in the category of A -modules.

Where do you go from here?

You can also build out differential forms à la Calculus 3 in the natural way. Let $\Omega_{A/k}^p$ be the p th exterior power of $\Omega_{A/k}$ in the category of A -modules.

The differential $d : \Omega_{A/k}^p \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^{p+1}$ satisfies $d^2 = 0$ and there is a multiplicative map $\Omega_{A/k}^p \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k}^q \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^{p+q}$, so we get a differential graded algebra / cochain complex $\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$.

Where do you go from here?

You can also build out differential forms à la Calculus 3 in the natural way. Let $\Omega_{A/k}^p$ be the p th exterior power of $\Omega_{A/k}$ in the category of A -modules.

The differential $d : \Omega_{A/k}^p \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^{p+1}$ satisfies $d^2 = 0$ and there is a multiplicative map $\Omega_{A/k}^p \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k}^q \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^{p+q}$, so we get a differential graded algebra / cochain complex $\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$.

Taking cohomology gives us de Rham cohomology

$$h^i(\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet) := H_{dR}^i(A/k).$$

Where do you go from here?

You can also build out differential forms à la Calculus 3 in the natural way. Let $\Omega_{A/k}^p$ be the p th exterior power of $\Omega_{A/k}$ in the category of A -modules.

The differential $d : \Omega_{A/k}^p \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^{p+1}$ satisfies $d^2 = 0$ and there is a multiplicative map $\Omega_{A/k}^p \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k}^q \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^{p+q}$, so we get a differential graded algebra / cochain complex $\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$.

Taking cohomology gives us de Rham cohomology

$$h^i(\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet) := H_{dR}^i(A/k).$$

Connect this to Duncan's 15 Sept talk about the Koszul complex and Čech / sheaf cohomology!

Where do you go from here?

Homological algebra and derived functors: we have two sequences which are exact on the right:

$$\#1 : k \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \Rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\#2 : k \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\psi} S \Rightarrow \ker \psi / \ker \psi^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Where do you go from here?

Homological algebra and derived functors: we have two sequences which are exact on the right:

$$\#1 : k \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \Rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\#2 : k \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\psi} S \Rightarrow \ker \psi / \ker \psi^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0.$$

You might want to extend to long exact sequences. This is kinda funky since \mathbf{Alg}_k is not an abelian category. But it can be done *homotopically*. You get something called the cotangent complex $\mathbf{L}_{A/k}$.

Thank you!

Exact sequences. The Stacks project <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu> Tags: [00RS] [00RU]

Jet spaces. Jet schemes and singularities, Lawrence Ein & Mircea Mustața **Ex 2.5**

Homotopy and $\mathbf{L}_{A/k}$. An introduction to homological algebra, Charles Weibel §8.8.

DAG IV: Deformation Theory, Jacob Lurie